## Final Project Grading Rubric: PowerPoint Presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE RANGE</th>
<th>CRITERIA FOR SCORING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 4.5 5       | • Thorough and in-depth work which fully meets or exceeds the instructions given.  
• Significant content with appropriate depth.  
• Well-organized presentation, well-paced and easily followed by the viewer.  
• At least 12 content slides present.  
• Creative, readable graphics and text that enhance important ideas in the presentation without distracting from the main theme of that presentation.  
• Speaker notes are clear and comprehensive.  
• Evidence of 6 or more bibliographic references in the background research.  
• Bibliography slide [not included in the 12 content required] follows all of the Chicago-style citation rules. |
| 3 3.5 4     | • Requirements meet or nearly so, with depth in one or more areas.  
• The presentation is 10%-15% short of the required number of content slides.  
• Presentation is generally organized with acceptable pacing and is generally clear to the viewer.  
• Graphics and text readable and effectively used to clarify information.  
• Most speaker notes are clear and adequate.  
• Evidence of at least 4 bibliographic references in the background research.  
• Bibliography slide [not included in the 12 content requirement] follows most of the Chicago-style citation rules. |
| 1.5 2 2.5   | • Requirements are partially met—information may be inadequate or inaccurate in several places.  
• Some relevant information presented; may not always be tied to the required criteria.  
• Presentation has between 50% and 80% of the required content slides.  
• Information may contain gaps or inaccuracies.  
• Presentation may be organized overall, but with confusion in some spots.  
• Slides may be too text heavy, contain frequent and/or significant errors and/or may lack appropriate graphics.  
• Graphics and/or text may be tough to read or comprehend.  
• Speaker notes are sparse and inadequate.  
• Evidence of less than 4 bibliographic references in the background research.  
• Bibliography slide [not included in the 12 content requirement] follows few of the Chicago-style citation rules. |
| 0 0.5 1     | • Many requirements are not adequately met.  
• Presentation has less than 50% of the required content slides.  
• Information has significant gaps or inaccuracies.  
• Most speaker notes are sparse or are completely non-existent.  
• Presentation is confusing in several key areas.  
• Graphics are hard to see or understand.  
• Evidence of no significant bibliographic references in the background research.  
• Bibliography slide [not included in the 12 content requirement] follows none of the Chicago-style citation rules. |